# <sup>1</sup> Later Language Acquisition

Later grammar Metalinguistics and discourse Language in school Bilingualism and second language acquisition

## <sup>2</sup> Later language acquisition

- Children elaborate the grammatical structures they have already acquired.
- Children become more aware of language units and processes
- Children size up different communication situations and thereby employ their linguistic resources to the best advantage.

## 3 Acquisition of morphology

<sup>4</sup> The 14 morphemes

## <sup>5</sup> Major empirical findings

- Criterion for acquisition: 90% use in obligatory context
- Acquisition is not sudden.
- Children tend to acquire the morphemes in a reasonable stable general order.
- Individual differences exist to some degree.

## <sup>6</sup> Why this order?

• Frequency

Frequency of adult use is not a very good predictor of order of acquisition.

--a / the frequent but acquired late

- The 14 morphemes are all frequent.
- The passive-active different and big frequency differences.
- For 14 morphemes, frequency differences did not exist and did not explain the order of acquisition.

### <sup>7</sup> Semantic and syntactic complexity

- greater cumulative complexity predicts later order, when it can be used. examples
- Noun plural -s
- 3rd person singular -s

## <sup>8</sup> Productivity in morphology

- Early use of morphemes were learned in combination with specific vocabulary items.
- By age three or four, there is evidence that children are indeed acquiring a rule-governed system.
- Overgeneralization errors.
- Apply to novel words (Berko 1958's elicited production task , p. 277)

## Image: Second second

- Rule-and-memory model
- Pinker (1991)
- 1. Regular forms are rule-governed
- 2. Irregular forms are retrieved from lexicon and involve a memory storage system.
- Parallel distributed processing model

Only a single mechanism is needed.

#### <sup>10</sup> Children's production of negation

- 1. No go movies.
- No sit down.
- No Mommy do it.
- 2. I no like it.
- Don't go.
- I no want book.
- You can't have this.
- I don't have money
- I'm not sad now.

## 11 🔲 Questions

- Rising intonation on a declarative sentence
- Yes/no question (stage III)
- Wh-questions
- Children's production
- Omitting auxiliary What that?
  - Where Daddy go?
- Include the auxiliary but do not consistently switch around with the subject Where are you going? What she is playing?

## <sup>12</sup> Passives

- Passives are rare in English and so in children's spontaneous speech.
- Agent and object are reversed in passives in English, so this construction can tell us how children acquire word order.
- 3 to 3.5 year olds understood passive while 3.5 to 4 year olds had difficulty with it.

## <sup>13</sup> Coordinations

- Sentential coordination
  - I'm pushing the wagon and I'm pulling the train.
- phrasal coordination
- I'm pushing the wagon and the train.
- Sententials do not develop before phrasals.

### <sup>14</sup> Semantic factors that influence the acquisition of coordination

Additive

ex. Maybe you can carry this and I can carry that.

Temporal relations

ex. Alice's going home and take her sweater off.

Causal relation

ex. She put a bandage on her shoe and it maked it feel better.



#### <sup>15</sup>□ Relative Clauses

- Children do not develop the full structural knowledge of this construction until long after they reach school.
- Object relative clauses before subject relative clauses. Let's eat the cake what I baked.
- Often children omitted a relative pronoun or they substituted an incorrect pronoun, usually what
- Children find it easier to add a clause at the end of a sentence rather than in the middle, since this minimizes constraints on processing.

<sup>16</sup> Crosslinguistic differences in later grammar

• Some aspects of language acquisition is universal, because they reflect either the cognitive functioning of language-learning children or language strategies that all children use.

e.g, Place negative marker at the beginning or end, acquisition of certain expressions related to location

Differences are due to conceptual and formal complexity

### 17 🔲 Matalinguistic development

- 2 year olds showed the ability to discriminate acceptable and unacceptable sentences but were unable to correct the deviant sentences without recourse to semantics.
- Separate words and their referents
- Children's awareness of phonological units p. 287 Table 11-3

#### **Discourse Process in Children** 18 🔲

- Conversational skills
- --turn taking

--relevance

- --Categories of child utterances (p.288. Table 11-4)
- --adapt one's speech to the listener
- --Referential communicative task
- Narrative skills
- --Children sometimes use pronouns ambiguously
- --weak in linkages between successive sentences

--individual differences are related to parental strategies for eliciting narratives at home.

### <sup>19</sup> Language in the school

- Communicating in the classroom
- Classroom discourse
- Decontextualized

- Initiation-reply-evaluation sequence
- Teachers' language to children is also more formal than most language to which children are accustomed.
- Teacher's inability to attend to every child at the same time.
- Academic success depends on communicative competence as much as intellectual competence.

### <sup>20</sup> Acquiring classroom skills

- Requests were often accompanied by justifications of why the request was made and clarification of exactly what was requested.
- Children often spontaneously revised their questions when the question did not produce the desired response.
- Teachers' interactions with students are related to teachers' perceptions of students' communication skills.

#### <sup>21</sup> Reading and language development

- Many of the comprehension skills that have been acquired to deal with oral language are also applicable to reading.—general comprehension skills
- Eye movements to scan sentences in a text
- Extracting the visual features of letters and words
- Print convention
- Relating printed language to spoken language

#### <sup>22</sup> Dhonological awareness and reading

- Linking graphemes to phonemes
- Phoneme-grapheme linkage is difficult because children tend to be weak in metalinguistic awareness of phonemes.
- Some researchers have suggested that it would be easier for children to begin reading by analyzing words into syllables, and only later to break syllables into phonemes.

#### <sup>23</sup> Top-down and bottom-up processes

- Top-down process—use sentence context to help figure out the meaning
- Most good readers identify words based solely on their spelling and not on contextual factors.
- Automatic process: word recognition
- Controlled processes: noting cohesion between sentences, drawing inferences, summarizing paragraphs

#### <sup>24</sup> Emergent literacy

- Children who are read to more often in the preschool period eventually become better readers.
- Listening to stories may foster positive attitudes toward reading.
- Early exposure to printed words facilitates children's later ability to recognize them automatically.
- <sup>25</sup> Bilingualism and second language acquisition
  - Contexts of childhood Bilingualism
  - Simultaneous bilingualism
  - Sequential bilingualism

## <sup>26</sup> Bilingual first-language acquisition

- Course of development
- acquisition of two languages by 3 years of age
- Bilinguals' development is very similar to monolinguals'.

## 27 🔲 Rate of development

- Lexical development is similar to monolinguals.
- Syntactic measures are behind.
- -- count /mass
- -- grammatical gender
- Children need at least 25% of the input to become competent speakers.
- Children need environment support to achieve bilingualism.

#### <sup>28</sup> Interference

- Children can distinguish two languages by the age of 2 if caregivers keep two language separate.
- Degree of interference or language mixing is greater when parents' language are mixed.
- Little interference or language mixing in bilingual sign-spoken children.

## <sup>29</sup> Levels of interference

- Phonology, syntax and lexicon
- Most frequent mixing occur at the lexical level.
- Reasons
- Lack the appropriate lexical items in one language
- Identify a referent with the lexicon in the stronger language and use that work consistently.

### <sup>30</sup> Second language acquisition

- Transfer hypothesis
- Against transfer hypothesis
- No transfer in L2 unless the child is isolated from peers in the target language
- Same processes are involved in all language acquisition.

#### <sup>31</sup> Morpheme studies

- similar between 5- year old Spanish and Chinese speaking children acquire English morphemes.
- Similar but not identical to English L1 children
- No transfer: 17-55 years old with various L1
- Transfer from L1 to L2 in discourse processing at least in adults

#### <sup>32</sup> Phonology transfer

Clear evidence for language transfer in phonology

- Young native Spanish speakers who were in the process of learning English showed a gradual shift from the Spanish VOT boundary to the English VOT boundary.
- The shift occur more rapidly for younger learners.
- L2 learners do best on sounds that are very different from the sounds in their native language but have more difficulty with sound that are moderately similar.

#### <sup>33</sup> Cognitive consequences of bilingualism

- Metalinguistic awareness
- Bilinguals have better syntactic awareness
- Bilinguals have better word awareness
- Facilitation of English phonological awareness for children when their first language was Spanish but not when it was Chinese

## <sup>34</sup> Problem solving and creativity

- Bilingualism led to cognitive impairment
- Fail to control for socioeconomic status
- Bilinguals have a great degree of cognitive flexibility
- Bilinguals who were proficient in both languages scored higher on several tests of creativity than monolinguals. Bilinguals with proficiency in only one language showed no creativity advantage.
- Longitudinal study showed that nonverbal intelligence was positively related to the degree of bilingualism.